



NATIONAL DATA  
MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

# **Vulnerability Scanning Standard**

**Prepared By:**

**National Data Management Authority  
March 2023**

### Document Status Sheet

	<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
<b>Policy Coordinator (Cybersecurity)</b>	<b>Muriana McPherson</b>	<b>31-03-2023</b>
<b>General Manager (NDMA)</b>	<b>Christopher Deen</b>	<b>31-03-2023</b>

### Document History and Version Control

<b>Date</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Authorised By</b>	<b>Approved By</b>
<b>31-03-2023</b>	<b>1.0</b>		<b>General Manager, NDMA</b>	<b>National ICT Advisor</b>

#### Summary

1. This standard addresses the scanning of all IT systems for vulnerability.
2. It was adapted from NIST Cybersecurity Framework Policy Template Guide and SANS Institute.
3. This is a living document which will be updated annually or as required.
4. Submit all inquiries and requests for future enhancements to the Policy Coordinator, NDMA.

## **1.0 Purpose**

Organisations utilise automated tools to scan systems, computing and network devices, web applications and application code. The results of these scans help inform management and system administrators of known and potential vulnerabilities.

Vulnerability management is a process by which the vulnerabilities identified through scanning are tracked, evaluated, prioritised, and managed until the vulnerabilities are remediated or otherwise appropriately resolved. Managing the vulnerabilities identified during scans ensures that appropriate actions are taken to reduce the potential that these vulnerabilities are exploited and thereby reduce risk of compromise to the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information assets.

## **2.0 Authority**

The Permanent Secretary, Administrative Head, Head of Human Resources or their designated representative of the Public Sector Organisation is responsible for the implementation of this standard. For further information regarding the foregoing, please contact the Policy Coordinator - National Data Management Authority (NDMA).

## **3.0 Scope**

This standard encompasses all systems, automated and manual, for which the Government of Guyana has administrative responsibility, including systems managed or hosted by third parties on behalf of the Government. It addresses all information, regardless of the form or format, which is created or used in support of business activities. It is the user's responsibility to read and understand this standard and to conduct their activities in accordance with its terms.

## **4.0 Standard**

As per the *Information Security Policy*, all systems must be scanned for vulnerabilities. In addition, each system must be inventoried and have an individual or group assigned responsibility for maintenance and administration.

### **4.1 Types of Scans**

The type of vulnerability scans appropriate for a given target depends on the target type (i.e., hardware, software, source code) and the target's location (i.e., internal, or external to the network). The table below lists the types of vulnerability scans required by this standard.

Table 1: Types of Security Scans	
Type	Description
<b>External Infrastructure Scan</b>	Scans of the perimeter of networks or any externally available hosted infrastructure to identify potential vulnerabilities in Internet accessible IT infrastructure.
<b>Internal Infrastructure Scan</b>	Scans of IT infrastructure on protected networks or any hosted infrastructure to identify potential vulnerabilities.
<b>“Lite” Web Application Scan</b>	Cursory unauthenticated scans of externally facing production web applications to identify security vulnerabilities.
<b>In-depth Web Application Scan</b>	When implemented, authenticated in-depth scans of web applications to identify security vulnerabilities.
<b>Application Source Code Analysis</b>	Scans of application source code run during development to identify problems in the code that could cause potential vulnerabilities.

## 4.2 Scanning

Organisations are responsible for confirming that vulnerability scans are conducted. Organisations must use a scanning tool approved by the designated information security representative. Any approved scanning tool must be able to provide remediation suggestions and be able to associate a severity value to each vulnerability discovered based on the relative impact of the vulnerability to the affected system.

As per the *Information Classification Standard*, scan reports are classified with moderate confidentiality and moderate integrity and should be protected as such.

Organisations are required to provide all external IP addresses and Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) for all externally facing web applications to the designated information security representatives.

Network and system administrators must provide sufficient access to allow the vulnerability scan engine to scan all services provided by the system. No devices connected to the network shall be specifically configured to block vulnerability scans from authorised scanning engines.

Scans must be performed within the system development life cycle (see SSDLC Standard) while in pre-deployment environments, when deployed into the target implementation environment, and periodically thereafter as specified below:

### 4.2.1 Pre-deployment scans occur prior to the move of the system or web application to the target implementation environment:

4.2.1.1 All systems must undergo an authenticated internal infrastructure scan, where technically feasible or required, before being deployed to the target implementation environment. Any infrastructure vulnerability discovered must be remediated or determined to be a false

positive or insignificant risk, by the designated information security representative, prior to the system being deployed for intended use.

4.2.1.2 When source code is available, applications must undergo source code scanning before the updated code moves into the target implementation environment if there has been a change to application code.

4.2.1.3 Scans must be authenticated when the application requires authentication before being deployed into the target implementation environment or into an environment that is externally accessible. When authentication is required to access the application, scans must be run with authenticated access at each access level (e.g., user, admin) supported by the application, except where limitations in the tool prevent authenticated scanning. Any web application vulnerability discovered must be remediated or determined to be a false positive or insignificant risk by the designated information security representative, prior to the system being placed into the target implementation environment.

4.2.1.4 Any system or application deployed to its target implementation environment with unremediated vulnerabilities must have a formal remediation plan and the documented approval of the executive responsible for risk management or their designee.

**4.2.2** Implementation scans occur the first time a system or web application is moved to its target implementation environment:

4.2.2.1 Systems must be scanned immediately upon being placed into the target implementation environment with an authenticated internal infrastructure scan, where technically feasible or required. If the system is accessible from the internet or an external network, then the system must be scanned with an external infrastructure scan.

4.2.2.2 Web applications must be scanned within the first month of being placed into the target implementation environment. An authenticated in-depth web application scan is required if feasible, but at minimum a “lite” web application scan is required. Sensitivity and criticality of the application must be considered when determining the schedule for the initial implementation scan.

**4.2.3** Recurring Scans: After the initial scan in the target implementation environment, the frequency of scans is to occur according to the system or application’s risk rating (see Table 2).

4.2.3.1 When performing internal infrastructure scans on systems built using a shared image, such as workstations, scans may be run on a sampling of systems, but the sample set must vary from scan to scan.

4.2.3.2 Web applications in production are required to undergo recurring scans. At minimum, web applications in production are required to undergo recurring “lite” application scans.

4.2.3.3 All vulnerabilities found during scans must be addressed as per the remediation section below.

### **4.3 Determine Risk Rating and Frequency of Scans**

The risk that vulnerabilities pose to systems and applications is based on the likelihood of a vulnerability being exploited and the impact if the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of the

information assets were compromised. The likelihood of a vulnerability being exploited is increased in direct relation to the systems or application’s accessibility from other systems.

The impact to the information assets is based on the asset’s information classification (see Information Classification Standard). Impact (i.e., high, moderate, or low) if the confidentiality, integrity, or availability is compromised must be considered and the highest individual impact rating for confidentiality, integrity or availability utilised within the table below.

<b>Table 2: Risk Rating</b>			
<b>Impact (Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability)</b>	<b>Exposure</b>		
	<b>Systems with no network connectivity to production data</b>	<b>Systems with network connectivity to production data (not internet facing)</b>	<b>System that is publicly available from the internet</b>
<b>High</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>High</b>
<b>Medium</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>High</b>
<b>Low</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>Medium</b>

Minimum frequency of scans is dependent on the risk rating. Systems without a risk rating must be scanned as if they had a risk rating of “High” until they are rated.

<b>Table 3: Frequency Of Scans</b>	
<b>Risk Rating</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
<b>Infrastructure scans</b>	
High	Monthly
Medium	Quarterly
Low	Semi-annually
<b>Web Application Scans</b>	
High	Quarterly or after significant change
Medium	Semi-annually
Low	Annually

#### 4.4 Remediation

Vulnerabilities discovered during scans must be remediated based on risk rating (see Table 2) and vulnerability severity identified by the scanning tool as per the table below.

<b>TABLE 4: REMEDIATION TIMEFRAMES</b>			
<b>Risk Rating (from Table 2)</b>	<b>Vulnerability Severity</b>		
	Low or Below	Above Low to Below High	High or Above
<b>High</b>	At the discretion of the designated information security representative	Action Plan in 2 Weeks, Resolved in 6 Months	Action Plan in 1 Week, Resolved in 1 Month
<b>Medium</b>	At the discretion of the designated information security representative	Action Plan in 3 Weeks, Resolved in 1 year	Action Plan in 2 Weeks, Resolved in 6 Months
<b>Low</b>	At the discretion of the designated information security representative	At the discretion of the designated information security representative	Action Plan in 3 Weeks, Resolved 1 year

The designated information security representative may review vulnerabilities to adjust the severity rating if necessary. Testing must be done to verify that remediation has been completed.

Individuals managing vulnerability scans are required to notify the designated information security representative within 1 business day of scan completion for new vulnerabilities and at least monthly of un-remediated vulnerabilities on systems or applications that are running in production.

Designated information security representatives must notify management of any un-remediated vulnerabilities not addressed in the timeframes prescribed in this standard, so that risk is accepted by the appropriate party.

#### 5.0 Compliance

This standard shall take effect upon publication. Compliance is expected with all organisational policies and standards. Failure to comply with the standard may, at the full discretion of the Permanent Secretary, Administrative Head, or Head of Human Resources of the Public Sector Organisation, may result in the suspension of any or all privileges and further action may be taken by the Ministry of Public Service.

#### 6.0 Exceptions

Requests for exceptions to this standard shall be reviewed by the Permanent Secretary, Administrative Head, Head of Human Resources of the Public Sector Organisation, or the Policy

Coordinator, NDMA. Departments requesting exceptions shall provide written requests to the relevant personnel. The request should specifically state the scope of the exception along with justification for granting the exception, the potential impact or risk attendant upon granting the exception, risk mitigation measures to be undertaken by the IT Department, initiatives, actions and a timeframe for achieving the minimum compliance level with the policies set forth herein.

## 7.0 Maintenance

The Policy Coordinator, NDMA shall be responsible for the maintenance of this standard.

## 8.0 Definitions of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Vulnerability <sup>1</sup>	Weakness in an information system, system security procedures, internal controls, or implementation that could be exploited or triggered by a threat source.

## 9.0 Contact Information

Submit all inquiries and requests for future enhancements to the Policy Coordinator, NDMA.

---

<sup>1</sup>Retrieved from: NIST Information Technology Laboratory Computer Security Resource Center  
<https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/vulnerability>